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## WEPAN 2011-2012 Webinar Series

- Host: Diane Matt, Executive Director, WEPAN (Women in Engineering ProActive Network)
- Moderator: Jenna Carpenter, Ph.D., Associate Dean; College of Engineering \& Science, Louisiana Tech University; Director of Professional Development, WEPAN BOD


Presenter: Dr. Elizabeth Litzler, Center for Workforce Duinlonment I lnivergite of

## Housekeeping Information

- The webinar will use Voice Over Internet. If the sound quality is not good, a teleconference line is available:
- Phone \#: +1 (646) 307-1722
- Code: 428-172-861
- Audio Pin: Check your screen once you join the webinar
- To be notified of future webinars, sign up for the Knowledge Center newsletter at: http:II wepanknowledgecenter.org
- Survey following the webinar-please respond!


## How to Ask a Question

- Participant microphones are muted for webinar quality.
- Type your question in the "Question" space in the webinar control panel.
- A presenter will respond as time allows.


## What's WEPAN? www.wepan.org

- WEPAN's Core Purpose: To propel higher education to increase the number and advance the prominence of diverse communities of women in engineering.
- WEPAN's Core Values: Knowledge of research, statistics, pedagogy, and practice relevant to women in engineering and STEM is a way to drive change.
- WEPAN and Collaboration: Collaboration draws on strengths from many sectors and is key to advancing women in engineering.
- WEPAN and Diversity: Inclusion of diverse communities of women improves the field of engineering itself.
- WEPAN and Leadership: Developing and influencing leadership is pivotal to advancing the success of women in engineering.


## WEPAN

# WEPAN Knowledge Center http://wepanknowledgecenter.org 

## Goal: Increase the number, scope and effectiveness of initiatives to advance women in engineering.

- Catalogued and fully cited resources

Research, reports, data and statistics, agenda papers, bibliographies, best practices, key programs, and more-1,000+

- Online Professional Community

Network, collaborate, identify experts, share information

- Special online events

Feature WKC Professional Community and networking opportunities

- Use the research, information \& data, Submit \& suggest resources, Share the WKC with colleagues


## Who's on the Call Today

- We have 250 registered participants.
- Thank you to ASEE WIED, ASEE CMC, ASEE ERM, NAPE Stem Equity Pipeline, PGEList, ADVANCE, and others for helping us spread the word!
- The recorded webinar and slides will be posted on the WEPAN Knowledge Center.
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## Agenda

## Background and Theory

## Proportion Women in Engineering

## Proportion Women in Engineering Majors

Individual: Attracting students to majors with most or least proportion women ( 21 schools, 9 majors)

Meso: Aggregate characteristics of majors with \% of women higher or lower than engineering average (13 schools, 5 majors)

UNIVERSITY of WASHINGTON
College of Engineering

## Background

- Women represent more than half of the students in post-secondary education today (NCES 2008)

- Gender, Race/Ethnicity
- GPA, Engr. Course in HS


## Status Beliefs

- Family friendly, Positive view Engr., Engr. confidence
- Intend to graduate, Coursework prepare for job


## Hostile Climate

- Passive: stereotypes, community, help others
- Overt: singled out, sexual harassment

Institution Char.

- Size, Research level, ranking, female faculty


# Bradenj mtions basedtumninthsquity 

 dominated majors will have:- High self-confidence
- High self-efficacy dominated majors will have:
- More preparation, greater skill investment
- Hostile, unwelcome culture
- More discrimination
- Greater perceptions of work-family flexibility
- Greater sense of community
- Greater sense of support
- Greater proportion female faculty


## Some effects stronger for women than men

## Data

- Engineering Workforce Commission (EWC)
- Fall 2007 undergraduate engineering enrollments by sex and major
- 351 engineering schools
- Project to Assess Climate in Engineering (PACE)
- 10,554 survey respondents across 21 schools, 2008
- Alfred P. Sloan Foundation funded UW Center for Workforce Development

UNIVERSITY of WASHINGTON
College of Engineering


TT CENTER FOR WORKFORCE DEVELOPMENT
UNIVERSITY of WASHINGTON
Corrrelations with proportion

## women

Higher Proportion Women (School level)
-Very High Research Activity
-Private
-Has Female Majors
-Large City
-US NEWS Top 50, Top 10
-Higher \# Female Faculty
\% of Women in Engineering
by
\# of Female Faculty

Proportion Women Major

> National Data

> Proportion Women in Major (x)
> by

> Size of Engineerin g College (y)
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atmeroportion Women in Engineering (Majors) Results Summary

- Large variation within majors and across schools
- Context of the major/school matters
- Content, by itself, does not drive female interest
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## chlesesfRelationship of Student Perceptions to Location in a

- PACE survey data, matched with Carnegie
- Highest and lowest quartile proportion women in major
- 21 schools, nine majors
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## Summary- Individual level

- Fewer differences between women in high and low quartiles than men in high and low quartiles
- For women, less variation is attributable to the variation between schools ( $30 \%$ vs. ~70\%)


## Unexpected -

Males feel unfairly singled out in majors with higher proportion of women aggregated up to level of the major

- 5 majors across 13 schools=65 cases
- Weighted Least Squares
- Representation Ratio: Greater than 1=higher representation in that major than in engineering overall
- Other non-PACE variables included (Salary, Major and school rank, Carnegie RUVH)
- Positive View of

Engineering
(respected, contribute
to society) (.53)

- Professors Care about Student Learning (.60)
- Students Help Others Succeed (.45)
- Proportion Female in

Community (-.31)

- Carnegie Very High Research (RUVH) schools (-.64)


## Overall Findings I

- Wide variation in women's representation: Student experiences, environment matters
- School level characteristics (unmeasured) matter quite a bit for men's choice of major with high or low proportion of women.
- Individual, interactional and environmental characteristics are more important for women's choice of major than school level differences.


## Overall Findings II

- Schools with higher proportion of women
- High and very high research activity, higher \# female faculty, ranked in top 100
- Prior engineering experience strong across all models


## Recommendations

- 10,000 STEM teachers (high school)
- Outreach - Keep doing it!
- Environment of major matters-
- Professor-student interaction
- Sense of Community


## Thank You!

## Questions?

- Remember:
-Type your question in the "Question" space in the webinar control panel.
-The presenter will respond as time allows.


## Thank You!



- We will E-mail a link to the PowerPoint to you.
- We will E-mail the link to the recorded webinar to you-share with your colleagues!
- Sign up for more webinar notifications at: www.wepanknowledgecenter.org
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